

# On split extensions of hoops

M. Mancini <sup>1,2</sup>, G. Metere <sup>3</sup>, F. Piazza <sup>1,4</sup>, and M. E. Tabacchi <sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy  
`manuel.mancini@unipa.it, federica.piazza07@unipa.it, marcoelio.tabacchi@unipa.it`

<sup>2</sup> Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique,  
Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium  
`manuel.mancini@uclouvain.be`

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Scienza per gli Alimenti la Nutrizione, l'Ambiente,  
Università degli Studi di Milano Statale, Milano, Italy  
`giuseppe.metere@unimi.it`

<sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche e Informatiche, Scienze Fisiche e Scienze della Terra,  
Università degli Studi di Messina, Messina, Italy  
`federica.piazza1@studenti.unime.it`

<sup>5</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Ricerche Demopolis, Italy

## Abstract

Internal actions have been introduced in [3] by F. Borceux, G. Janelidze, and G. M. Kelly as a means to generalize the connection between actions and split extensions from groups and Lie algebras to arbitrary semi-abelian categories. However, in certain settings such as Orzech categories of interest [20] internal actions are often expressed in terms of external actions, i.e., via a set of maps which satisfy a certain set of identities. In this talk, we are gonna study external actions and split extensions in the category **Hoops** of hoops, with a focus on those split extensions which strongly splits. In particular, we say that a split extension

$$X \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightleftharpoons[s]{p} B$$

*strongly splits*, or has a *strong section*, if the morphism  $s$  is a *strong section* of  $p$ , i.e. if the equation

$$a \rightarrow s(b) = sp(a) \rightarrow s(b)$$

holds for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

When a split extension

$$X \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightleftharpoons[s]{p} B$$

---

The authors are supported by the “National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications” (GNSAGA – INdAM), by the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4, Component 2, Investment 1.1, Call for tender No. 1409 published on 14/09/2022 by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR), funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU – Project Title Quantum Models for Logic, Computation and Natural Processes (QM4NP) – CUP: B53D23030160001 – Grant Assignment Decree No. 1371 adopted on 01/09/2023 by the Italian Ministry of Ministry of University and Research (MUR), and by the SDF Sustainability Decision Framework Research Project – MISE decree of 31/12/2021 (MIMIT Dipartimento per le politiche per le imprese – Direzione generale per gli incentivi alle imprese) – CUP: B79J23000530005, COR: 14019279, Lead Partner: TD Group Italia Srl, Partner: University of Palermo. The first and fourth authors are supported by the University of Palermo, the second author is supported by the University of Milan, the third author is supported by the University of Messina. The first author is also a postdoctoral researcher of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique–FNRS.

in **Hoops** strongly splits, then the semidirect product  $X \ltimes_{\xi} B$  of  $X$  and  $B$  with respect to the corresponding internal action  $\xi$  is given by the set

$$\{(x, b) \in X \times B \mid s(b) \rightarrow (s(b) \cdot x) = x\}$$

together with the operations

$$(x, b) \rightarrow (y, b') = (s(b' \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y), b \rightarrow b'),$$

$$(x, b) \cdot (y, b') = (s(b \cdot b') \rightarrow (s(b \cdot b') \cdot x \cdot y), b \cdot b')$$

and

$$1_{X \ltimes_{\xi} B} = (1, 1, 1).$$

Split extensions with strong section in the category **Hoops** can be described in terms of *strong external actions* [19], i.e., a pair of maps

$$f: B \times X \rightarrow X: (b, x) \mapsto f_b(x),$$

$$g: B \times X \rightarrow X: (b, x) \mapsto g_b(x)$$

such that

$$\text{E1. } f_b(1) = g_b(1) = 1;$$

$$\text{E2. } f_1 = g_1 = \text{id}_X;$$

$$\text{E3. } f_{b_1 \cdot b_2}(x \cdot g_{b_1}(x \rightarrow y)) = f_{b_1 \cdot b_2}(x \cdot (x \rightarrow y));$$

$$\text{E4. }$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(b_3 \rightarrow (b_1 \cdot b_2))}(f_{b_1 \cdot b_2}(x \cdot y) \rightarrow z) &= \\ &= g_{(b_2 \rightarrow b_3) \rightarrow b_1}(x \rightarrow g_{b_3 \rightarrow b_2}(y \rightarrow z)); \end{aligned}$$

for any  $b, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in B$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ .

In particular, there is a bijection  $\tau_B$  between the set  $\text{EAct}_{\text{ss}}(B, X)$  of strong external actions of  $B$  on  $X$  and the set  $\text{SplExt}_{\text{ss}}(B, X)$  of isomorphism classes of split extensions of  $B$  by  $X$  that strongly splits. Indeed, we can define  $\tau_B$  as the map that sends every split extension in **Hoops** that strongly splits

$$X \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightleftharpoons[s]{p} B,$$

to the pair of maps  $f, g: B \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$f_b(x) = s(b) \rightarrow (s(b) \cdot x) \quad \text{and} \quad g_b(x) = s(b) \rightarrow x.$$

It is possible to show that  $(f, g)$  defines a strong external action of  $B$  on  $X$ . Moreover, the map  $\mu_B$  which sends a strong external action  $f, g: B \times X \rightarrow X$  to the split extension of  $B$  by  $X$

$$X \xrightarrow{\iota_1} Y \xrightleftharpoons[\iota_2]{\pi_2} B$$

where

$$Y = \{(x, b) \in X \times B \mid f_b(x) = x\}$$

and

$$(x, b) \rightarrow (y, b') = (g_{b' \rightarrow b}(x \rightarrow y), b \rightarrow b'),$$

$$(x, b) \cdot (y, b') = (f_{b \cdot b'}(x \cdot y), b \cdot b')$$

is the inverse of the map  $\tau_B$ .

As a consequence, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}_{\text{ss}}(-, X) \cong \text{EAct}_{\text{ss}}(-, X),$$

where  $\text{SplExt}_{\text{ss}}(-, X): \text{Hoops}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is the functor which assigns to any hoop  $B$ , the set  $\text{SplExt}_{\text{ss}}(B, X)$  and

$$\text{EAct}_{\text{ss}}(-, X): \text{Hoops}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

is the functor which maps every hoop  $B$  to  $\text{EAct}_{\text{ss}}(B, X)$ .

## References

- [1] M. Abad, D. N. Castaño, J. P. D. Varela, “MV-closures of Wajsberg hoops and applications”, *Algebra Universalis* vol. 64, 2010, pp. 21–230.
- [2] F. Borceux, D. Bourn, “Mal’cev, protomodular, homological and semi-abelian categories”, Springer, 2004.
- [3] F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, “Internal object actions”, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* vol. 46, 2005, no. 2, pp. 235–255.
- [4] D. Bourn, G. Janelidze, “Characterization of protomodular varieties of universal algebras”, *Theory and Applications of Categories* vol. 11, 2003, no. 6, pp. 143–147.
- [5] D. Bourn, G. Janelidze, “Protomodularity, descent, and semidirect products”, *Theory and Applications of Categories* vol. 4, 1998, no. 2, pp. 37–46.
- [6] J. Brox, X. García-Martínez, M. Mancini, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, “Weak representability of actions of non-associative algebras”, *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 669, 2025, no. 18., pp. 401–444.
- [7] C. C. Chang, “Algebraic analysis of many valued logics”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* vol. 88, 1958, pp. 476–490.
- [8] C. C. Chang, “A New Proof of the Completeness of the Lukasiewicz Axioms”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* vol. 93, 1959, pp. 74–80.
- [9] G. Chen, T. T. Pham, “Introduction to Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Logic, and Fuzzy Control Systems”, 2000, CRC Press.
- [10] R. L. O. Cignoli, I. M. Loffredo D’Ottaviano, D. Mundici, “Algebraic Foundations of Many-valued Reasoning”, *Trends in Logic - Studia Logica Library*, 1999, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [11] A. S. Cigoli, M. Mancini and G. Metere, “On the representability of actions of Leibniz algebras and Poisson algebras”, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society* vol. 66, 2023, no. 4, pp. 998–1021.
- [12] M. M. Clementino, A. Montoli, L. Sousa, “Semidirect products of (topological) semi-abelian algebras”, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* vol. 219, 2015, no. 1, pp. 183–197.
- [13] G. Gerla, “Fuzzy logic: mathematical tools for approximate reasoning”, *Trends in logic* vol. 11, 2001, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [14] V. Giustarini, F. Manfucci, S. Ugolini, “Free Constructions in Hoops via  $l$ -Groups”, *Studia Logica*, 2024.
- [15] G. Janelidze, “Ideally exact categories”, *Theory and Applications of Categories* vol. 41, 2024, no. 11, pp. 414–425.
- [16] G. Janelidze, L. Márki and W. Tholen, “Semi-abelian categories”, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* vol. 168, 2002, no. 2, pp. 367–386.
- [17] S. Lapenta, G. Metere, L. Spada, “Relative ideals in homological categories, with an application to MV-algebras”, *Theory and Applications of Categories* vol. 42, 2024, no. 27, pp. 878–893.
- [18] J. Lukasiewicz , A. Tarski, “Untersuchungen über den Aussagenkalkil”, *Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie, Classe III* vol. 23, 1930, pp. 30–50.

- [19] M. Mancini, G. Metere, F. Piazza, M. E. Tabacchi, “On split extensions of product hoops”, In: L. Godo, M.-J. Lesot, G. Pasi (eds.), IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems. FUZZ-IEEE 2025. Accepted for publication (2025).
- [20] G. Orzech, “Obstruction theory in algebraic categories I and II”, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra vol. 2, 1972, no. 4, pp. 287–314 and 315–340.
- [21] W. Rump, “A general Glivenko theorem”, Algebra Universalis vol. 61, 2009, no. 455.
- [22] W. Rump, “The category of L-algebras”, Theory and Applications of Categories vol. 39, 2023, no. 21, pp. 598–624.