

# Modal $FL_{ew}$ -algebra satisfiability through first-order translation <sup>\*</sup>

Guillermo Badia<sup>1</sup>, Carles Noguera<sup>2</sup>, Alberto Paparella<sup>3</sup>, and Guido Sciavicco<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia  
g.badia@uq.edu.au

<sup>2</sup> University of Siena, Siena, Italy  
carles.noguera@unisi.it

<sup>3</sup> University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy  
alberto.paparella@unife.it

<sup>4</sup> University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy  
guido.sciavicco@unife.it

## Abstract

Modal logics offer a valid treatment for temporal and spatial data, which are critical in modeling many real-world scenarios and, therefore, are becoming more popular by the day in artificial intelligence applications, specifically when dealing with symbolic machine learning. Some notable examples are [10, 11], introducing modal logics for treating interval temporal relations and topological (i.e., spatial) relations, respectively. However, practitioners handling temporal and spatial data typically encounter challenges, as sensing and discretizing signals that often introduce inaccuracies in the data. Fuzzy logics are renowned as a common approach to deal with uncertainty and unclear boundaries in the data. Furthermore, Melvin Fitting proposed in [6] a many-valued approach leveraging Heyting algebras to tackle many-expert scenarios, another compelling application in artificial intelligence. In this talk, we want to present a framework that is general enough to treat modal many-valued logics, including Fitting's proposal, and can be endowed with reasoning tools suitable for real-world applications.

$FL_{ew}$ -algebras (*Full Lambek calculus with exchange and weakening*, see, e.g., [8]) proved to be a valid candidate, as it generalizes most common algebraic structures of many-valued logics, such as *Gödel* algebras ( $G$ , for short) [1], *MV*-algebras [3] ( $MV$ ) on which *Lukasiewicz* logic is based [12], *product* algebras ( $\Pi$ ) [9], and *Heyting* algebras ( $H$ ).  $FL_{ew}$ -algebras are *bounded integral commutative residuated lattices*; i.e., an  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a *lattice* ordered by a partial ordering relation  $\leq$ , with a top (1) and a bottom (0) element. When the order is linear, we use the term  $FL_{ew}$ -chain. The difference between  $FL_{ew}$ -algebras and common bounded lattices is the presence of an internal operation, usually denoted by  $\cdot$ , and assumed to be commutative, associative and having 1 as a neutral element, usually referred to as *t-norm*, that is, such that  $(\mathbf{A}, \cdot, 1)$  is a *monoid*; hence, we will often refer to the multiplication as the *monoidal operation*. Intuitively, the multiplication in an  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra generalizes the interpretation of the logical conjunction. Moreover, an  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra is assumed to have the *residuation property*, that is, it is assumed that for fixed elements  $a, b \in \mathbf{A}$ , there exists a unique maximal element  $x$  such that  $a \cdot x \leq b$ ; this element is denoted by  $a \rightarrow b$ , and the implication operator  $\rightarrow$  generalizes the logical implication. All commonly used algebras

---

<sup>\*</sup>We acknowledge the support of the FIRCD project *Methodological Developments in Modal Symbolic Geometric Learning*, funded by the University of Ferrara, and the INDAM-GNCS project *Certification, Monitoring, and Interpretability of Artificial Intelligence Systems* (code CUP\_E53C23001670001), funded by INDAM; Alberto Paparella and Guido Sciavicco are GNCS-INDAM members. Moreover, this research has also been funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research through PNRR - M4C2 - Investimento 1.3 (Decreto Direttoriale MUR n. 341 del 15/03/2022), Partenariato Esteso PE00000013 - "FAIR - Future Artificial Intelligence Research" - Spoke 8 "Pervasive AI", funded by the European Union under the NextGeneration EU programme".

in the field of many-valued logics are particular cases of some  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra  $(\mathbf{A}, \cdot, \rightarrow, 1, 0)$ ; each specific case differs from the others in how the monoidal operation is defined.

While *modal* many-valued logics [4, 6] have already been applied in different contexts, they are just starting to be studied in depth. Automated theorem proving for modal  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra formulas encompassing a many-valued generalization of Halpern and Shoham’s interval temporal logic [10] has been tackled in [2] using a tableaux system inspired by the one proposed by Melvin Fitting in [7] and already extended to Heyting Algebras in [5]. When tackling formulas satisfiability and validity in  $FL_{ew}$ -algebras (and, more generally, many-valued logics defined over a lattice representing a partial order), the problem can be relaxed to finding, given a formula  $\varphi$  and a value  $\alpha$  in the algebra, if a model exists such that (resp., for all possible models) the formula has at least value  $\alpha$ . This problem is referred to  $\alpha$ -satisfiability (resp.  $\alpha$ -validity).

In this work, we propose a different approach leveraging well-known sat and smt solvers, such as z3, with the hope of gaining better performance while maintaining some sort of interpretability. In order to do so, one has to translate the  $\alpha$ -satisfiability problem to a two-sorted first-order problem, with a first sort  $\mathcal{A}$  representing the values in the  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra and a second sort  $\mathcal{W}$  representing the worlds, such that given a formula  $\varphi$  interpreted on an  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\varphi$  is  $\alpha$ -satisfiable if and only if it exists  $\mathcal{M}, w \in \mathcal{W}$  so that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}(w, a) \succeq \alpha$ .

We provide an accessible and open-source algorithmic tool for (i) defining finite  $FL_{ew}$ -algebras, (ii) writing formulas in a specified  $FL_{ew}$ -algebra, and (iii) asking  $\alpha$ -satisfiability for a given value  $\alpha$  in the algebra of the formula through a first-order translation and making use of a sat or a smt solver, such as z3. This tool is offered as part of a long-term open-source framework for learning and reasoning, namely Sole.jl<sup>1</sup>. In particular, the tool can be found in the ManyValuedLogics submodule of the SoleLogics.jl<sup>2</sup> package, which provides the core data structures and functions for an easy manipulation of propositional, modal and many-valued logics. For the benefit the reader, the tool is also available in a standalone repository<sup>3</sup>, using many-valued Halpern and Shoham’s interval temporal logic as an example.

## References

- [1] M. Baaz, N. Preining, and R. Zach. First-order Gödel logics. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 147:23–47, 2007.
- [2] Guillermo Badia, Carles Noguera, Alberto Paparella, Guido Sciavicco, and Ionel Eduard Stan. Fitting’s Style Many-Valued Interval Temporal Logic Tableau System: Theory and Implementation. In Pietro Sala, Michael Sioutis, and Fusheng Wang, editors, *31st International Symposium on Temporal Representation and Reasoning (TIME 2024)*, volume 318 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 7:1–7:16, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2024. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [3] C.C. Chang. Algebraic analysis of many valued logics. *Transactions of the American Mathematical society*, 88(2):467–490, 1958.
- [4] W. Conradie, D. Della Monica, E. Muñoz-Velasco, G. Sciavicco, and I.E. Stan. Fuzzy halpern and shoham’s interval temporal logics. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 456:107–124, 2023.
- [5] Willem Conradie, Riccardo Monego, Emilio Muñoz Velasco, Guido Sciavicco, and Ionel Eduard Stan. A Sound and Complete Tableau System for Fuzzy Halpern and Shoham’s Interval Temporal Logic. In Alexander Artikis, Florian Bruse, and Luke Hunsberger, editors, *30th International Symposium on Temporal Representation and Reasoning (TIME 2023)*, volume 278 of *Leibniz In-*

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/aclai-lab/Sole.jl>

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/aclai-lab/SoleLogics.jl>

<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/aclai-lab/LATD2025b>

- ternational Proceedings in Informatics (LIPICs)*, pages 9:1–9:14, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2023. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [6] M. Fitting. Many-valued modal logics. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 15(3-4):235–254, 1991.
  - [7] M. Fitting. Tableaus for many-valued modal logic. *Studia Logica*, 55(1):63–87, 1995.
  - [8] N. Galatos. *Residuated lattices: an algebraic glimpse at substructural logics*. Elsevier, 2007.
  - [9] P. Hájek. *The Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Kluwer, 1998.
  - [10] J. Y. Halpern and Y. Shoham. A Propositional Modal Logic of Time Intervals. *Journal of the ACM*, 38(4):935–962, 1991.
  - [11] Carsten Lutz and Frank Wolter. Modal logics of topological relations. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, Volume 2, Issue 2, June 2006.
  - [12] A. Rose. Formalisations of further  $\aleph_0$ -valued Lukasiewicz propositional calculi. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 43(2):207–210, 1978.