

# Bochvar algebras, Płonka sums, and twist products

Francesco Paoli<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Bonzio<sup>2</sup>, and Michele Pra Baldi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Presenting author, University of Cagliari [paoli@unica.it](mailto:paoli@unica.it)

<sup>2</sup> University of Cagliari [stefano.bonzio@unica.it](mailto:stefano.bonzio@unica.it)

<sup>3</sup> University of Padua [michele.prabaldi@unipd.it](mailto:michele.prabaldi@unipd.it)

## Abstract

The proper quasivariety  $\mathcal{BCA}$  of Bochvar algebras, which serves as the equivalent algebraic semantics of Bochvar’s external logic, was introduced by Finn and Grigolia in [6] and extensively studied in [4]. We show that the algebraic category of Bochvar algebras is equivalent to a category whose objects are pairs consisting of a Boolean algebra and a meet-subsemilattice (with unit) of the same. We also show that one of the functors that induce the equivalence can be equivalently defined either by means of a Płonka sum construction, or by means of a twist product construction.

## 1 Extended abstract

In 1938, the Russian mathematician Dmitri Anatolyevich Bochvar published the influential paper “On a three-valued logical calculus and its application to the analysis of the paradoxes of the classical extended functional calculus” [1], in which he introduced a 3-valued logic aimed at resolving set-theoretic and semantic paradoxes. His proposal diverged significantly from other related approaches in at least two key aspects. First and foremost, the third, non-classical value  $\frac{1}{2}$  was *infectious* in any sentential compound involving the standard, or *internal*, propositional connectives  $\neg, \wedge, \vee$ . This means that a formula would be assigned the value  $\frac{1}{2}$  iff at least one variable within it was assigned  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This third value was interpreted as “paradoxical”. Second, the language of Bochvar’s logic included *external* unary connectives  $J_0, J_1, J_2$  (written in Finn and Grigolia’s notation) that, unlike the internal connectives, could output only Boolean values.

Although the merits of Bochvar’s logic as a solution to the paradoxes remain highly debatable, its influence on successive developments in 3-valued logic has been significant. The internal fragment of Bochvar’s logic was characterised by Urquhart [9] through the imposition of a variable inclusion strainer on the consequence relation of classical propositional logic. Building on this result, a general framework for *right variable inclusion logics* has been proposed (see [3] for a detailed account). In this context, the celebrated algebraic construction of *Płonka sums* is extended from algebras to logical matrices. Specifically, each logic  $L$  is paired with a “right variable inclusion companion”  $L^r$  whose matrix models are decomposed as Płonka sums of models of  $L$ . Notably, Bochvar’s internal logic serves as the right variable inclusion companion of classical logic.

Studies on Bochvar’s external logic, by contrast, are comparatively scarce. Finn and Grigolia [6] provided an algebraic semantics for it with respect to the quasivariety of *Bochvar algebras*. However, their work does not employ the standard toolbox or terminology of abstract algebraic logic. Adopting a more mainstream approach, the papers [2, 4] extend Finn and Grigolia’s completeness theorem to a full-fledged algebraisability result, and offer a representation of Bochvar algebras that refines the Płonka sum representations of their involutive bisemilattice reducts. We present Bochvar algebras in a simplified signature where the definable operation symbols  $J_0, J_1$  are omitted.

**Definition 1.** A Bochvar algebra is an algebra  $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, J_2, 0, 1 \rangle$  of type  $\langle 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$  that satisfies the following identities:

1.  $\varphi \vee \varphi \approx \varphi$ ;
2.  $\varphi \vee \psi \approx \psi \vee \varphi$ ;
3.  $(\varphi \vee \psi) \vee \delta \approx \varphi \vee (\psi \vee \delta)$ ;
4.  $\varphi \wedge (\psi \vee \delta) \approx (\varphi \wedge \psi) \vee (\varphi \wedge \delta)$ ;
5.  $\neg(\neg\varphi) \approx \varphi$ ;
6.  $\neg 1 \approx 0$ ;
7.  $\neg(\varphi \vee \psi) \approx \neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi$ ;
8.  $0 \vee \varphi \approx \varphi$ ;
9.  $J_2 \neg J_2 \varphi \approx \neg J_2 \varphi$ ;
10.  $J_2 \varphi \approx \neg(J_2 \neg\varphi \vee \neg(J_2 \varphi \vee J_2 \neg\varphi))$ ;
11.  $J_2 \varphi \vee \neg J_2 \varphi \approx 1$ ;
12.  $J_2(\varphi \vee \psi) \approx (J_2 \varphi \wedge J_2 \psi) \vee (J_2 \varphi \wedge J_2 \neg\psi) \vee (J_2 \neg\varphi \wedge J_2 \psi)$ ;
13.  $J_2 \neg\varphi \approx J_2 \neg\psi \ \& \ J_2 \varphi \approx J_2 \psi \Rightarrow \varphi \approx \psi$ .

We show that the algebraic category of Bochvar algebras is equivalent to a category whose objects are pairs consisting of a Boolean algebra and a meet-subsemilattice (with unit) of the same. This equivalence instantiates the general theory of adjunctions between quasivarieties proposed by Moraschini [7].

**Definition 2.** A Bochvar system is a pair  $\mathbb{B} = \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{I} \rangle$  such that  $\mathbf{B} = \langle B, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra and  $\mathbf{I} = \langle I, \wedge, 1 \rangle$  is a meet-subsemilattice with unit of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  denote the algebraic category of Bochvar algebras. We now define a category  $\mathfrak{S}$  whose objects are Bochvar systems. If  $\mathbb{B}_1 = \langle \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{I}_1 \rangle$  and  $\mathbb{B}_2 = \langle \mathbf{B}_2, \mathbf{I}_2 \rangle$  are objects in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , a morphism from  $\mathbb{B}_1$  to  $\mathbb{B}_2$  is a homomorphism  $g$  from  $\mathbf{B}_1$  to  $\mathbf{B}_2$  such that  $g(i) \in I_2$  for every  $i \in I_1$ . Observe that any such  $g$  is also a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{I}_1$  to  $\mathbf{I}_2$ .

**Theorem 1.** The categories  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* (Sketch.) Let  $\mathbb{B} = \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{I} \rangle$  be a Bochvar system. We define

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{B}} = \langle \{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}, \mathbf{I}^\partial, \{p_{ij} : i \leq_{\mathbf{I}^\partial} j\} \rangle$$

such that:

- for all  $i \in I$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_i := \mathbf{B}/[i]$ ;
- $\mathbf{I}^\partial$  is the lower-bounded join-semilattice dual to  $\mathbf{I}$ ;
- for all  $i, j \in I$  such that  $i \leq_{\mathbf{I}^\partial} j$ ,  $p_{ij}(a/[i]) := (a/[i])/[j]$ .

$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{B}}$  is a semilattice direct system of Boolean algebras, whence the Plonka sum  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{A}_i)_{i \in I}$  over it is an involutive bisemilattice [3, Ch. 2]. By the results in [4], this is the underlying involutive bisemilattice of a unique Bochvar algebra, noted  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .

For the other direction, let

$$\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, J_2, 0, 1 \rangle$$

be a Bochvar algebra, whose involutive bisemilattice reduct decomposes as  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ . We define  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}} := \langle \mathbf{A}_{i_0}, \mathbf{K} \rangle$ , where  $K = \{J_2^{\mathbf{A}}(1^{A_i}) : i \in I\}$ , and for  $J_2^{\mathbf{A}}(1^{A_i}), J_2^{\mathbf{A}}(1^{A_j}) \in K$ ,  $J_2^{\mathbf{A}}(1^{A_i}) \leq_K J_2^{\mathbf{A}}(1^{A_j})$  iff  $j \leq_I i$ . We have that  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}}$  is a Bochvar system.

We now define the map  $\Gamma$  as follows:

- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an object in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , let  $\Gamma(\mathbf{A}) := \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{A}}$ .
- If  $f : \mathbf{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_2$  is a morphism in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , let  $\Gamma(f)$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $\mathbf{A}_{1_{i_0}}$ .

Similarly, we define the map  $\Xi$  as follows:

- If  $\mathbb{B}$  is an object in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , let  $\Xi(\mathbb{B}) := \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .
- If  $g : \mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2$  is a morphism in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , let  $\Xi(g)$  be defined as follows:  $\Xi(g)(a/[i]) := g(a)/[g(i)]$ .

$\Gamma$  and  $\Xi$  are functors that induce an equivalence between  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ . □

Interestingly, the functor  $\Xi$  can be equivalently defined by resorting not to a Plonka-type construction, but rather to the definition of a *twist product algebra*.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathbb{B} = \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{I} \rangle$  be a Bochvar system. The twist product algebra over  $\mathbb{B}$  is the algebra

$$Tw(\mathbb{B}) = \langle T, \wedge^{Tw(\mathbb{B})}, \vee^{Tw(\mathbb{B})}, \neg^{Tw(\mathbb{B})}, J_2^{Tw(\mathbb{B})}, 0^{Tw(\mathbb{B})}, 1^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} \rangle$$

of type  $\langle 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ , such that (omitting superscripts when denoting the operations in  $\mathbf{B}$ ):

- $T := \{\langle a, b \rangle : a, b \in B, a \wedge b = 0, a \vee b \in I\}$ ;
- $\langle a, b \rangle \wedge^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} \langle c, d \rangle := \langle a \wedge c, (b \wedge d) \vee (b \wedge c) \vee (a \wedge d) \rangle$ ;
- $\langle a, b \rangle \vee^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} \langle c, d \rangle := \langle (a \wedge c) \vee (a \wedge d) \vee (b \wedge c), b \wedge d \rangle$ ;
- $\neg^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} \langle a, b \rangle := \langle b, a \rangle$ ;
- $J_2^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} \langle a, b \rangle := \langle a, \neg a \rangle$ ;
- $0^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} := \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ;
- $1^{Tw(\mathbb{B})} := \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $Tw(\mathbb{B})$  is a Bochvar algebra that is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .

This observation might point both to a possible extension of the theory of twist products beyond the lattice-ordered case, and to a further exploration of the relationships between the constructions of Plonka sums and twist products. Moreover, it might relate to recent work on twist constructions and residuated lattices with conuclei [5, 8].

## References

- [1] D. Bochvar. On a three-valued logical calculus and its application to the analysis of the paradoxes of the classical extended functional calculus. *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 2(1-2):87–112, 1981. Translation of the original in Russian (Mathematicheskii Sbornik, 1938).
- [2] S. Bonzio, V. Fano, P. Graziani, and M. Pra Baldi. A logical modeling of severe ignorance. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 52:1053–1080, 2023.
- [3] S. Bonzio, F. Paoli, and M. Pra Baldi. *Logics of Variable Inclusion*. Springer, Trends in Logic, 2022.
- [4] S. Bonzio and M. Pra Baldi. On the structure of Bochvar algebras. *The Review of Symbolic Logic*, 2024.
- [5] Manuela Busaniche, Nikolaos Galatos, and M.A. Marcos. Twist structures and nelson conuclei. *Studia Logica*, 110:949—987, 2024.
- [6] V.K. Finn and R. Grigolia. Nonsense logics and their algebraic properties. *Theoria*, 59(1–3):207–273, 1993.
- [7] Tommaso Moraschini. A logical and algebraic characterization of adjunctions between generalized quasivarieties. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 83(3):899–919, 2018.
- [8] Umberto Rivieccio and Manuela Busaniche. Nelson conuclei and nuclei: The twist construction beyond involutivity. *Studia Logica*, 112(6):1123–1161, 2024.
- [9] Alasdair Urquhart. *Basic Many-Valued Logic*, pages 249–295. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2001.